

Alan Robinson and his wife, Gail have been directors in over 30 companies in the UK, all of which were dissolved by 2008, many as a result of a lost court case. **Companycheck.co.uk**

All of those companies had to do with telecommunications and broadband service.

The names Alan and Gail use have changed post 2008. Prior to 2008 he was Alan Stuart MacDonald Robinson. She was Gail Farrin Robinson. Post 2008 he was Alan Stuart Robinson and She hyphenated her last name so she became Gail Farrin-Robinson. Along with the fact that they had to sell their farm (as a result of personal liability mentioned below) the name change and address change are enough to hide their company history prior to late 2008.

For example, compare this listing from CompanyCheck.co.uk for [Alan Stuart Robinson](#):

*Alan Robinson holds 4 appointments at 4 active companies, has resigned from 1 companies and held 0 appointments at 0 dissolved companies. Alan began their first appointment at the age of 57. Their longest current appointment spans 4 years and 0 months at PARADIGM ENERGIES LTD.*

to this listing at the same database for [Alan Stuart Macdonald Robinson](#):

*Alan Robinson holds 0 appointments at 0 active companies, has resigned from 26 companies and held 8 appointments at 8 dissolved companies. Alan is not registered as holding any current appointments.*

Here's the listing for [Gail Farrin-Robinson](#):

*Gail Farrin-Robinson holds 1 appointments at 1 active companies, has resigned from 0 companies and held 0 appointments at 0 dissolved companies. Gail began their first appointment at the age of 62. Their longest current appointment spans 2 years and 4 months at PARADIGM ENERGIES EQUITY PARTNERS LLP.*

And the listing for [Gail Farrin Robinson](#):

*Gail Farrin Robinson holds 2 appointments at 2 active companies, has resigned from 16 companies and held 14 appointments at 14 dissolved companies. Gail began their first appointment at the age of 41. Their longest current appointment spans 21 years and 9 months at THE CONVERGENCE GROUP PLC.*

In 2007-2008 there was a significant court case in which Alan was found personally liable for damages to his former accountant. This was called a landmark case and the judge, in his 150 page decision, called him "a dishonest man". A specific issue in this case that caused dissolution was that during a pre-scheduled break in the trial, **after his poor performance but before the trial could resume, Robinson filed for dissolution of the Convergence companies** due to lack of funds to pay any creditors, including the creditor bringing the suit. As a result of Robinson's dishonesty and his ignoring of prior advice from his own attorneys that the suit would fail, he was made personally liable for the company's debts.

The full account from the judge can be found here:

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2007/1774.html>

The Paradigm companies in the UK has a listing of directors ( [opencompany.co.uk](http://opencompany.co.uk) ) and following the tabs leads you to the listings. It is out of date because the directors have not updated it. There are technically three companies. Paradigm Energies Ltd., Paradigm Technologies and Systems Ltd., and Paradigm Energies Equity Partners Ltd.

For Paradigm Energies Ltd. 9/2010 to present:

2 people are listed who are no longer involved with Paradigm: Lester Vicary (Peoria, IL attorney who resigned in late 2012, and James Brumwell (also an attorney) who resigned in February 2014 and was replaced by Alan's son, Oliver James Robinson. The only other directors left are Alan and Orval Yarger.

Paradigm Technologies and Systems Ltd. 9/2010 to present:

Alan Stuart Robinson is the only director.

Paradigm Energies Equity Partners Ltd. 4/2012 to present:

Alan Stuart Robinson and Orval Jens Yarger of Bloomington are the only designated LLP members.

The "non-designated" members from both sources include Charles John Keene, Clayton Tolley, David John Waterhouse, Douglas Nord, Gregory Kent Vail, James Edward Brumwell, Jim Leonard, Kenneth R. Rittenhouse, Lester William Vicary, Malcolm Murphey, Michael Andrew Fearfield, Oliver James Robinson, Stephen Johnson, Christine Saunders, Gail Carolyn Ferrin-Robinson, Margaret Flanagan, Nancy Iacobucci, Mindy Chebaut, One5Two LLP, and Zmundo.com Productions Inc.

Orval was a member of two previously failed ventures with the Robinson's.

## The Technology

Researching the technology brings a lot of information. Each individual part of the proposal has been done and each piece of technology has been tried with different feed-stocks (fuel pellets, organics, algae, etc). The main thing is that this type of project, all together, using municipal solid waste, has not been done past a few tons a day pilot project and hasn't been shown to scale up without major difficulties and project-killing financials.

The environmental impacts are somewhat unknown. Gasification will produce some air emissions which are highly dependent on what material goes in. There will also be either a fly ash or a bottom ash,

depending on the type of gasifier used. That material will have heavy metals and other potential toxins within it. Nothing has been addressed yet as to where that will go and how it will safely be disposed of.

Here are a couple of reports and research on the technology.

<http://plastics.americanchemistry.com/Sustainability-Recycling/Energy-Recovery/Gasification-of-Non-Recycled-Plastics-from-Municipal-Solid-Waste-in-the-United-States.pdf>

<http://zerowastehouston.org/2014/07/zero-waste-houston-report-debunks-citys-one-bin-trash-plan/>

The “recycling” part of the project is a misnomer. The only materials pulled before gasification are metals and glass which aren’t able to be gasified. ISRI, the national association for scrap metals recyclers, [has put out a press release stating that they do not want metals](#) recovered from Dirty MRF systems (like is being proposed with Paradigm). This metal is too contaminated for their processes and therefore doesn’t have a market. Likewise, glass from this type of system is not marketable. Even in a clean Single Stream recycling facility, the glass is contaminated with small pieces of paper, small metal caps, and other small objects. This makes it a cost item to recycle properly but it is still recycled. Too much contamination means load rejection from the end user. Glass from a Dirty MRF will be worse and will likely go to be used as daily cover at a landfill.

For the better way of doing things to create more jobs and more tax dollar influx and a better sustainable outlook for the community and state, check out the Austin, TX plan for a Resource Recovery district and master plan.

[http://austintexas.gov/sites/default/files/files/Trash\\_and\\_Recycling/MasterPlan\\_Final\\_12.30.pdf](http://austintexas.gov/sites/default/files/files/Trash_and_Recycling/MasterPlan_Final_12.30.pdf)